

752 So.2d 75 (Mem)
District Court of Appeal of Florida,
Third District.

BEST UNION, INC., Appellant,
v.
Francisco CRESPO, Appellee.

No. 3D98-915. | Feb. 16, 2000.
| Rehearing Denied March 22, 2000.

An Appeal from the Circuit Court for Dade County, [Amy Steele Donner](#), Judge.

Attorneys and Law Firms

Kaufman, Miller, Dickstein & Grunspan, Barbara Green and Raymond V. Miller, Miami, for appellant.

Weissman, Dervishi, Borgo, & Nordlund, and [Jeffrey M. Weissman](#), Fort Lauderdale; and [Barry S. Franklin](#), North Miami, for appellee.

Before [GODERICH, GREEN](#), and [RAMIREZ, JJ.](#)

Opinion

PER CURIAM.

Because the defendant answered in its interrogatory that it did not contend that “any person or entity other than the named defendant is or may be liable in whole or in part for the claims asserted against the defendant in this lawsuit,” the trial court properly excluded evidence that some other person or entity may be liable for the claims asserted against the defendant. See *Office Depot, Inc. v. Miller*, 584 So.2d 587, 589 (Fla. 4th DCA 1991) (holding that trial judge has broad discretion in deciding whether to permit or exclude a witness's testimony and that discovery rules should be construed and utilized to avoid “trial by ambush.”).

The remaining issues that were raised by the defendant lack merit. We affirm.

Affirmed.

Parallel Citations

25 Fla. L. Weekly D410